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Light modulation of human sleep depends on a polymorphism in the clock gene Period3
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Abstract

Non-image-forming (NIF) responses to light powerfully modulate human physiology. However, it remains scarcely understood how NIF responses to light modulate human sleep and its EEG hallmarks, and if there are differences across individuals. Here we investigated NIF responses to light on sleep in individuals genotyped for the PERIOD3 (PER3) variable-number tandem-repeat (VNTR) polymorphism. Eighteen healthy young men (20–28 years; mean ± SEM: 25.9 ± 1.2) homozygous for the PER3 polymorphism were matched by age, body-mass index, and ethnicity. The study protocol comprised a balanced cross-over design during the winter, in which participants were exposed to light of 40 lx at 6500 K (blue-enriched) and at 2500 K (non-blue enriched), during 2 h in the evening. Compared to light at 2500 K, light at 6500 K induced a significant increase in all-night NREM sleep slow-wave activity (SWA: 1.0–4.5 Hz) in the occipital cortex for the PER3 5/5 individuals, but not for PER3 4/4 volunteers. Dynamics of SWA across sleep cycles indicated increased occipital NREM sleep SWA for virtually the entire sleep episode only in the PER3 5/5 individuals. Furthermore, they experienced light at 6500 K as significantly brighter. Intriguingly, this subjective perception of brightness significantly predicted their increased occipital SWA throughout the sleep episode. Our data indicate that humans homozygous for the PER3 5/5 allele are more sensitive to NIF light effects, as indexed by specific changes in sleep EEG activity. Ultimately, individual differences in NIF light responses on sleep may depend on a clock gene polymorphism involved in sleep–wake regulation.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

1. Introduction

Retinal photoreception encompasses not only rods and cones, but also a small subset of intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells (ipRGCs) expressing the photopigment melanopsin [1–3]. The ipRGCs play a major role to circadian entrainment, subjective and objective alertness, cognitive brain function, and numerous non-image-forming (NIF) responses [4–6], with peak sensitivity at the short-wavelength range (ca. 460–480 nm) [1,7]. A direct retinal pathway originating from ipRGCs to the ventro-lateral preoptic nucleus (VLPO) provides morphological support that light modulates sleep [2]. In mice, light induces sleep during their active phase, through rod-cone and melanopsin-based pathways [3]. These short-term light effects on sleep are further described in two studies [3,8], where mice lacking melanopsin fail
to sleep when light is presented during their active period. Furthermore, melanopsin knockout mice (Opn4−/−) show attenuated NREM sleep delta power, a reliable marker of sleep homeostasis, during light exposure at their active period, indicating that melanopsin pathways modulate sleep homeostasis [9]. In humans, light’s wavelength-dependency on sleep is such that monochromatic blue (460 nm), relative to green light (550 nm), impacts on the dynamics of NREM sleep EEG activity, with less SWA in the first sleep cycle and a rebound in the third sleep cycle [10]. In a study using polychromatic light settings, morning light reduces subsequent sleep duration by ca. 1 h (particularly REM sleep duration), with no impact on EEG activity from 0.25 to 15 Hz for NREM sleep and REM sleep [11]. Conversely, evening polychromatic light exposure has been shown to increase NREM stage 2 latency [11,12]. These numerous light effects on sleep point to individual differences that may modulate the impact of light. Novel data indicate that a variable-number tandem-repeat (VNTR) polymorphism in the clock gene PERIOD3 (PER3) impacts on cognitive brain responses to light [13], and also on melatonin suppression and subjective/objective alerting action of light [14]. However, it is currently unknown if a differential short-term responses to light on human sleep phenotypes are modulated by the PER3 VNTR polymorphism. Here we investigated if individual differences in non-image-forming responses to light on sleep depend on a PER3 polymorphism directly involved in sleep–wake regulation [15].

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Detailed description of the study participants, selection criteria and study protocol is provided elsewhere [14]. Eighteen healthy male volunteers (20–28 years; mean ± SEM: 25.9 ± 1.2) homozygous for the PER3 polymorphism (9 PER3Δ4, 9 PER3Δ5) were matched by age, body-mass index (BMI), and ethnicity. No significant differences were observed between the two groups for age, BMI, and ethnicity (all Caucasians). All participants gave written informed consent. The study was approved by the local ethics committee (EKBB/Ethikkommission beider Basel, Switzerland) and conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. Protocol

A balanced cross-over design study was carried out during the winter season (January to March), with three segments separated by one week. The protocol started 10 h after volunteers’ habitual wake-up time and ended the next day after usual wake-up time. Sleep–wake schedules were assessed by wrist actigraphy (activwatch L, Cambridge Neurotechnology Ltd., Cambridge, UK) and self-reported sleep logs. During each protocol, participants underwent successively 1.5 h under dim light (<8 lx), 2 h under complete darkness, 2 h light exposure (compact fluorescent lamps with 6500 K or 2500 K or incandescent light bulbs at 3000 K), and a post-light period of ca. 45 min under dim light (<8 lx) until habitual sleep time. In our study, prior light exposure was controlled for; such that participants were under 1.5 h of dim light and 2 h of darkness before light exposure. Controlling for prior light history enhances subsequent sensitivity to light exposure [16]. As a result, photoreceptor systems achieve a stable state of photo-equilibrium, through a reduction in the “bleaching effect” of previous light exposure [17]. During the “pre-light exposure” (dim and dark), participants continuously performed waking EEGs, a cognitive test battery, salivary melatonin and cortisol samples, questionnaires for visual comfort, visual analogue scales, subjective sleepiness scales, and mental effort scales, under the same conditions as for the light exposure. In other words, they performed exactly the same tasks as for the light exposure, under virtually identical settings. The post-light exposure was used to slightly minimize the possibility of longer time to fall asleep (sleep latency to NREM stage 2), due to the immediately preceding light exposure. Each protocol was conducted at the same time-of-day (evening), and light intensity (ca. 40 lx) for each participant. Light at 40 lx was used since it is a typical indoor environmental intensity in naturalistic settings, during the evening hours. The treatment order (6500 vs. 2500 K vs. 3000 K) was counter-balanced to avoid possible order effects of the light conditions. Detailed information of light settings and study rationale are provided in [18]. Here we report data on light exposure to 6500 K and 2500 K, because exposure to 2500 K and 3000 K resulted in very similar effects.

2.3. Genotyping

DNA was extracted with the NucleoSpin® Tissue Kit (Machery-Nagel AG, Dueren, Germany). All genotypes were determined with allele-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) on a MJ Research PTC-225 thermal cycler (MJ Research/Bio-Rad, Reno, NV, USA) using ‘Hot FIREP’® DNA polymerase and a forward and reverse primer. The PER3 forward primer was as follows: 5’ TTACAGGCAACATGGCAGT 3’ and the reverse primer: 5’ CCACATC-CTGATGCTGCTGA 3’ (annealing temperature: 59 °C, 25 mM MgCl2).

2.4. Subjective perception of Visual Comfort

To assess each participant’s subjective perception of visual comfort, we utilized the validated visual comfort scale (VCS) [19], which consists of a visual analogue scale with a 100 mm scale that probes visual well-being, comfort, and brightness.

2.5. Salivary melatonin

Salivary melatonin was assessed every 40 min during wakefulness. A direct double-antibody radioimmunoassay was used for melatonin assays (validated by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry with an analytical least detectable dose of 0.65 pmol/L; Bühlmann Laboratory, Schönenbuch, Switzerland) [20]. The minimum detectable dose of melatonin (analytical sensitivity) was set at 0.2 pg/ml.

2.6. Polysomnographic recordings

Sleep EEG activity was recorded continuously during the scheduled sleep period with the Vitaport Ambulatory system (Vitaport-3 digital recorder TEMEC Instruments BV, Kerkrade, the Netherlands). Eight EEG derivations (F3, F4, C3, C4, P3, P4, O1, O2, referenced against linked mastoids, A1 and A2), two electrooculograms, two submental electromyograms, and two electrocardiograms were recorded. All signals were low pass filtered at 30 Hz (fourth order Bessel type anti-aliasing, total 24 dB/Oct) at a time constant of 1.0 s. After online digitization by using a 12 bit AD converter (0.15 µV/bit) and a sampling rate at 128 Hz for the EEG, the raw signals were stored on a flash RAM card (Viking, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, USA) and later downloaded to a PC hard drive. Sleep stages were visually scored per 20 s epochs (Vitaport Paperless Sleep Scoring Software), according to [21], by a single experienced polysomnography technician, blind to genotype and light conditions. NREM sleep was defined as the sum of NREM stages 2, 3, and 4. Slow wave sleep (SWS) was defined as the sum of NREM sleep stages 3 and 4. EEG artifacts were detected by an automated artifact algorithm (CAS, 2000 PhysVision B.V., Gemert, The Netherlands). Spectral analysis was conducted using a fast Fourier transformation (FFT; 10% cosine 4 s window), which
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yielded a 0.25 Hz bin resolution. EEG power spectra were calculated during NREM sleep and REM sleep in the frequency range from 0 to 32 Hz. Artifact-free 4 s epochs were averaged across 20 s epochs. Since no lateralization effects were observed for the genotypes and light conditions, here we report EEG data for frontal (F3, F4), central (C3, C4), parietal (P3, P4) and occipital (O1, O2) derivations, in the frequency range of 0.50–20 Hz.

2.7. Statistical analysis

For all analysis, we used the statistical package SAS (version 9.1; SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Visually scored sleep stages were expressed as percentages of total sleep time (TST) or minutes of TST. All-night EEG power density in NREM sleep was analyzed for frontal, central, parietal and occipital derivations for each 0.25 Hz frequency bin, with factors ‘genotype’ (PER3<sup>5/5</sup> and PER3<sup>4/4</sup>), ‘light condition’ (6500 K and 2500 K) and ‘derivation’ (frontal, central, parietal, occipital), NREM-REM sleep cycles were defined according to [22]. A mixed-model analysis of variance (PROC MIXED) was used with factors ‘genotype’ (PER3<sup>5/5</sup> and PER3<sup>4/4</sup>), ‘light condition’ (6500 K vs. 2500 K), ‘derivation’ (frontal, central, parietal, occipital), and ‘cycle’ (cycles 1–4). All p-values derived from repeated-ANOVAs were based on Kenward–Rogers corrected degrees of freedom (significance level: p < 0.05). LS means statement was used for post-hocs, and the Tukey–Kramer test was then used for the correction of multiple comparisons. Subjective perception of visual comfort (visual well-being and brightness) was analyzed with factors ‘genotype’ and ‘light condition’. Afterwards, to test the influence of brightness perception (from VCS) during light exposure on NREM sleep SWA, we computed a linear regression between these two variables (difference of brightness perception between light at 6500 K and 2500 K with occipital NREM sleep SWA). We also compared if the regression coefficients differed between the two genotypes by using the coefficient comparisons derived for independent groups of participants, as described in the applied linear regression method [23].

3. Results

3.1. Sleep structure

Average sleep-times for PER3<sup>5/5</sup> and PER3<sup>4/4</sup> participants were, respectively, 23:55 ± 0:14 and 23:59 ± 0:17 (hours and minutes; mean ± SEM; p = n.s.), and wake-up times were, respectively, 07:10 ± 0:16 and 07:14 ± 0:15 (hours and minutes; mean ± SEM; p = n.s.). Analysis of a two-way ANOVA with factors ‘genotype’ and ‘light condition’ on all-night sleep structure yielded no significant group differences for sleep structure between both light conditions (Table 1). Analysis of sleep structure per sleep cycle (cycles 1–4) revealed no significant differences between the two genotypes after the light conditions. Furthermore, sleep stage and sleep cycle analysis for pooled data (all participants, irrespective of genotype: n = 18) did not yield any significant differences (data not shown).

3.2. Sleep EEG power density

A two-way r-ANOVA with the factors ‘genotype’ and ‘light condition’, for each derivation separately, yielded significant differences for occipital NREM sleep slow-wave activity range (absolute values for frequency bins in the range of 1.0 to 4.5 Hz) (F<sub>1,109</sub> = 4.3; p < 0.04). Fig. 1 shows that after light at 6500 K, PER3<sup>5/5</sup> had significantly higher occipital NREM EEG power density in the slow-wave activity range (1.0–4.5 Hz), relative to PER3<sup>4/4</sup> participants (p < 0.05; Tukey–Kramer test). All-night REM sleep EEG power density did not significantly differ between the genotypes for both light conditions (data not shown). Given the genotype effects on all-night NREM sleep SWA (for frequency bins in the range of 1.0 to 4.5 Hz), we then analyzed the dynamics of this SWA frequency range (1.0–4.5 Hz) across the NREM-REM sleep cycles. Analysis of variance with factors ‘genotype’, ‘light condition’, ‘cycle’, and ‘derivation’ yielded significant effects for ‘light condition’ (F<sub>1,186</sub> = 6.9; p < 0.05), ‘cycle’ (F<sub>2,186</sub> = 41.4; p < 0.05), and ‘derivation’ (F<sub>3,188</sub> = 7.8; p < 0.05). A three-way r-ANOVA with the interaction of ‘genotype’, ‘light condition’ and ‘cycle’, per derivation, elicited no significant differences for NREM sleep SWA (Fig. 2). Furthermore, all-night sleep EEG power density analysis for pooled data (all participants, irrespective of genotype: n = 18) did not yield any significant differences for the interaction ‘light condition’ and ‘cycle’, per derivation (data not shown).

3.3. Subjective perception of visual comfort and relationship to NREM SWA

During 2-h of light exposure, PER3<sup>5/5</sup> individuals experienced light at 6500 K as significantly more bright (mean ± SEM: 39.1 ± 5.5) than PER3<sup>4/4</sup> (mean ± SEM: 20.7 ± 7.1) (2-way r-ANOVA with factors ‘genotype’ and ‘light condition’; F<sub>1,16</sub> = 1.4; p = 0.03). No genotype-driven differences were observed for visual well-being. To test the degree of subjective perception of brightness with NREM sleep SWA, we then computed a linear regression between these two variables. Exposure to light at 2500 K did not elicit a significant regression between the perception of brightness and occipital NREM SWA for either genotype. Conversely, the log-transformed difference between light of 6500 K and 2500 K for PER3<sup>4/4</sup> individuals did not show a significant regression between brightness perception and occipital NREM SWA (r = −0.63; p < 0.01). While PER3<sup>5/5</sup> individuals had a significant, positive regression (r = 0.34, p = 0.04) (Fig. 3). Comparison of regressions between PER3<sup>4/4</sup> and PER3<sup>5/5</sup> was significantly different (p = 0.04).

4. Discussion

Our data indicate that evening light exposure elicits short-term effects onto the subsequent sleep episode that may depend on a polymorphism in the clock gene PER3. Individuals homozygous for the longer allele of the clock gene PER3 (PER3<sup>5/5</sup>) appear to be more sensitive to blue-enriched light, relative to carriers of the shorter allele (PER3<sup>4/4</sup>). This was indexed subjectively by their perception of light at 6500 K as being brighter, and objectively by their higher levels of occipital NREM sleep SWA throughout the entire sleep episode, following light exposure at 6500 K.

4.1. Light-dependent sleep and brain function: PER3 polymorphism

Sleep phenotypes exhibit large inter-individual differences presumably driven by a plurality of genes that contribute to differences in sleep architecture, timing and duration in mice and humans [24]. A VNTR polymorphism within the coding region of the clock gene PER2 contains a 54-nucleotide unit that is repeated four (PER2<sup>4</sup> allele) or five (PER2<sup>5</sup>) times in humans [25]. Homozygosity for the longer allele (PER3<sup>5/5</sup>) predicts changes in sleep structure and EEG slow oscillations, which conjunctly indicate higher homeostatic sleep pressure [15]. The cerebral correlates, as assessed by a fMRI study [26], indicate that under high sleep pressure PER3<sup>5/5</sup> individuals exhibit widespread reductions in the activation of prefrontal, temporal, parietal and occipital areas, compared to PER3<sup>4/4</sup> carriers. Recently, polymorphisms in this clock gene have been implicated in the differential responses to light in mice and humans [13,14,27]. A functional knockout of Period3 in mice mPer3(<sup>−/−</sup>) results in altered sensitivity to light, such that mice deficient for Per3 have attenuated non-image forming responses.
to light [27], similar to those described for melanopsin knockout mice (Opn4−/−) [4]. In humans, fMRI data show that under high sleep pressure, monochromatic blue light, relative to green light, increases cognitive brain responses in a left thalamofrontoparietal circuit only in PER3<sup>5/5</sup> individuals [13]. Thus light elicits strong activating effects in individuals genetically susceptible to sleep loss, when they are under high homeostatic sleep pressure. Recently, light at 6500 K attenuated endogenous melatonin and enhanced the alerting response to light, as indexed by less subjective sleepiness and waking theta activity (5–7 Hz), only in PER3<sup>5/5</sup> individuals [14]. Nevertheless, there is no evidence to data that PER3 polymorphism is directly involved in a differential melanopsin signaling pathway. Here we show that this genotype-driven light dependency significantly impacts on sleep phenotypes, as indexed by the higher occipital NREM SWA throughout a sleep episode following blue-enriched light, in PER3<sup>5/5</sup> individuals. We previously argued that the increased sensitivity to light, as indexed by more melatonin suppression in PER3<sup>5/5</sup>, most likely impacted on brain structures involved in arousal regulation [14]. Thus, we computed a linear regression between the differences of melatonin suppression by light at 6500 K to light at 2500 K, relative to occipital NREM sleep SWA. As indicated in Supplementary Fig. 1, light-dependent melatonin effects do not predict significant changes in occipital SWA in either genotype. Given our significant regression of brightness perception with occipital SWA, one tempting speculation is that the blue-enriched light effects on sleep may be mediated by genotype differences in the melanopsin-based discrimination of brightness.

4.2. Is irradiance perception a key to understand sleep phenotypes?

Our data indicate that PER3<sup>5/5</sup> individuals perceive light at 6500 K as brighter than the PER3<sup>4/4</sup>, which was significantly and positively related to their increased occipital NREM sleep SWA, while only a trend for negative regression was observed for the PER3<sup>4/4</sup>. When data of all participants (n = 18) were pooled, the

Table 1

All-night sleep variables for PER3<sup>4/4</sup> and PER3<sup>5/5</sup> individuals following light exposure to 6500 K and 2500 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PER3&lt;sup&gt;4/4&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>PER3&lt;sup&gt;5/5&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>p-Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6500 K</td>
<td>2500 K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TST</td>
<td>385.5 ± 4.8</td>
<td>392.4 ± 6.3</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE (%)</td>
<td>94.4 ± 1</td>
<td>95.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wake (%)</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1 (%)</td>
<td>10.5 ± 1</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2 (%)</td>
<td>53.1 ± 2.2</td>
<td>50.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 3 (%)</td>
<td>10.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>10 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 4 (%)</td>
<td>3.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>9.1 ± 2.2</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWS (%)</td>
<td>14.7 ± 2.4</td>
<td>19.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NREM (%)</td>
<td>67.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>69.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REM (%)</td>
<td>21.6 ± 1.6</td>
<td>18.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT (%)</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arousal (%)</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. All-night EEG power density spectra during NREM sleep in frontal, central, parietal, and occipital derivations for PER3<sup>4/4</sup> (upper panels) and PER3<sup>5/5</sup> individuals (bottom panels). NREM sleep EEG power density values per 0.25 Hz bin following light exposure at 6500 K (black lines for PER3<sup>4/4</sup> and red lines for PER3<sup>5/5</sup>) are expressed as percentage of the corresponding average values following light exposure at 2500 K (horizontal dashed line: 100% of EEG power density following light exposure at 2500 K). Mean and standard error of the mean values are shown for each 0.25 Hz frequency bin from 0.75 to 20 Hz. ’Genotype’ vs. ’light condition'; p < 0.05.
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regression between perception of brightness and occipital NREM SWA was not significant (Supplementary Fig. 2). This reinforces the assumption that, only for the PER3<sup>5/5</sup> individuals, perception of blue-enriched light as being brighter impacts on occipital SWA. Our data suggest that, the more blue light is perceived as bright, the more the occipital cortex (involved in visual processing [28]) may be “engaged” during light exposure. As a result, this may have increased occipital NREM SWA throughout the sleep episode, but only for PER3<sup>5/5</sup> individuals. FMRI cortical responses to lightness variations in the human visual system indicate that early cortical activity in retinotopic areas, particularly in the primary visual cortex, relates to context-dependent lightness variations [28]. Lightness and brightness are intertwined constructs, as brightness comprises the observed surface luminance closely related to lightness per se [29]. Thus, higher perception of brightness may directly activate early visual cortical areas.

What are the possible photic signaling pathways that account for the activation in these retinotopic areas? The ipRGCs also play a role to conventional visual pathways, as their projections extend to the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus (dLGN), the origin of thalamo-cortical projection neurons [30]. In mice devoid of rods and cones, melanopsin input promotes widespread light responses in the dLGN and visual cortex, as well as irradiance-dependent increases in the firing rate of these neurons [30]. Thus, melanopsin photoreception is a major source of light input to the thalamo-cortical visual system, modulating irradiance and visual

---

**Fig. 2.** Time-course of NREM sleep slow-wave activity (SWA: 1.0–4.5 Hz) in frontal, central, parietal, and occipital derivations for PER3<sup>4/4</sup> (upper panels) and PER3<sup>5/5</sup> individuals (bottom panels). x-Axis corresponds to NREM sleep cycles 1–4, and y-axis corresponds to EEG power density values (µV²) for SWA following light at 6500K (black lines for PER3<sup>4/4</sup> and red lines for PER3<sup>5/5</sup>) and light at 2500K (black dashed lines for PER3<sup>4/4</sup> and red dashed lines for PER3<sup>5/5</sup>). Data are presented as mean ± standard error of means. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

**Fig. 3.** Linear regression between the subjective perception of brightness (x-axis: log-transformed difference between the perception of brightness derived from the VCS questionnaire, for light exposure at 6500K to light at 2500K) and EEG power density values (y-axis: log-transformed data) for occipital NREM sleep SWA (1.0–4.5 Hz) for PER3<sup>4/4</sup> (left panel) and PER3<sup>5/5</sup> individuals (right panel).
responses. ipRGCs also contribute to brightness discrimination in healthy sighted humans [31]. However, visual perception of light and luminance are relayed to the primary visual cortex [28], which hints to the involvement of the image forming system in brightness perception via classical photoreceptors. Thus our key finding that brightness perception impacts on occipital NREM slow-wave sleep is likely to be modulated by both NIF and image-forming systems. Furthermore, this increased SWA in the occipital cortex after blue-light exposure may hint to a local use-dependent phenomenon, here indexed by an overall nocturnal increase in occipital SWA, instead of specific changes occurring only during the first sleep NREM–REM cycle. Sleep need is partly regulated at the local cortical level [32], such that NREM SWA may reflect prior “use” of specific neuronal circuits, as well as changes in synaptic strength within those networks [33]. Therefore, experience-dependent plasticity may account for sleep need.

The question remains as to why enhanced perception of blue-enriched light triggers higher occipital NREM SWA only in PER3+/− individuals. To our best knowledge, the causality for the interaction of light and PER3 effects on sleep in mice and humans is a mystery. Further studies investigating retinal clock gene expression of PER3 in mouse models, such as PER3+/− knockout mice during exposure to different light wavelengths and how different melanopsin-based discrimination of brightness impact on sleep–wake phenotypes are avenues to look forward to.

Recently, we showed that, in 30 young volunteers, blue-enriched polychromatic light exposure (6500K) at relatively low room light levels (ca. 40lx) impacts on sleep homeostatic regulation, as indexed by less frontal SWA during the first NREM sleep episode [34]. The dissimilarity of those results relative to our current ones may be driven by the type of study sample. In that study, participants (n=30) were selected from a random distribution of the general population, as at the time of recruitment and participation to the study, their genotypes were unknown. In a retrospective assessment, we analyzed the PER3 polymorphism genotypes of those participants. Out of 30 individuals, 12 are PER3+/+ (40%), 14 are PER3+/− (46%) and 4 are PER3+− (14%), which mirrors the PER3 polymorphism distribution from other studies carried out at similar latitudes (52°) and ethnicity background (Caucasians) [15,35]. Conversely, our study was purported to assess how a specific clock gene polymorphism differentially impacts on NIF responses to light on sleep phenotypes. Thus, our study sample was selectively composed of 9 PER3+/+ and 9 PER3+−. Importantly, PER3 polymorphism was used as a tool to probe individual differences in NIF effects of light modulate sleep EEG activity.

To date, this polymorphism is the only one known to differentially impact on the effects of light on melatonin suppression/alerting effect of light [14] and cognitive brain function [13]. In this study, PER3 polymorphism (known to impact on sleep–wake regulation) was used as a means to understand how individual differences to light effects may impact on sleep. However, it is extremely likely that a set of functional polymorphisms act conjunctly to mediate these effects. Future studies with larger samples may provide a conclusion as to how this clock gene polymorphism modulates light effects on sleep. Our data indicate that the impact of light on human sleep phenotypes may be modulated by a clock gene polymorphism. Ultimately, these findings may help to understand the individual variability of the non-image forming responses to light.
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